

## Ongoing Project Appraisal Rating Matrix and Reference Documents

Vote	The Presidency	Priority within the Vote	1 / 10	Desk Officer	<enter> Joanna X. Phiri
Project Name	Capacity Development of Financial Management for Ministry of Human Quality				
Has the project been pipeline?	<enter> No				
Total Score	10	/ 15	Minimum Acceptable Score is 6		

	Scoring Max	Total Score	Minimum score				
1	Continued Project Relevance	Yes	Ye	Yes			
	Appraisal Criterion	Notes for Criterion	Scoring frame	Score	Remarks	Reference points in the template and other reference documents	Further Explanation
1.1	Externalities (Needs)	Is the project still relevant as a result of other emerging issues. YES or NO	Yes, No	Yes	<Enter>	Documents Quarterly Progress Report Project Monitoring Report	The change of situation: Is the project still needed or not? · Eg.) Indonesia case of oil shed ownership moved to the island which became independent from Indonesia · Political priority: E. M. L. S. P.

	Category	Scoring Max	Total Score	Minimum score			
2	Project Type	3	3				
	Appraisal Criterion	Notes for Criterion	Scoring frame	Score	Remarks	Reference points in the template and other reference documents	Further Explanation
2.1	National level (e.g. National Development Plan and its Key Priority Area:KPA)	If 0, do not proceed.	3=Development/Infrastructure, 2=Development/Hybrid, 1=Development/service; 0=Recurrent	<Select: 0-4> 3	<Enter>	Documents Quarterly Progress Reports	Desk officer is expected to also check project activities, outputs generated, and an activity costing matrix to verify this.

	Category	Scoring Max	Total Score	Minimum score			
3	Project Performance	12	10				
	Appraisal Criterion	Notes for Criterion	Scoring frame	Score	Remarks	Reference points in the template and other reference documents	Further Explanation
3.1	Absorption/utilization of previous FY's funding (a) <Funding utilisation> Expenditure (Spent) / Funding (Disbursement)		4= Utilised 95% -86% 3= Utilised 85% - 2= Utilised 50%- 1= Utilised 0-49%	4	3	Documents Quarterly Progress Report Minutes of Quarterly Meeting with Budget Division	For: FY2017-18PSIP 1year=July 2015-June 2016 1Q =July 2016-Sep 2016
3.2	Resource/results (outputs and outcomes if any) ratio (based on previous one year) Achievements against annual targets		3= Achieved more than the target, 2= Achieved the target, 1= Achieved below target, 0= No achievement	3	2	Documents Quarterly Progress Reports Minutes of Steering Meeting	• Funding vs. Results, if funding is 10% and results 10%, we will not penalise the Project.
3.3	Time efficiency ( based on entire history of project implementation) Cumulative achievements against endline targets vs the remaining time		2= Likely to be completed within the planned project life, 1= Will be completed with a minor extension (No more than 12 months), 0= Will be completed with a major extension (more than 12 months)	2	2	Documents Quarterly Monitoring Reports Minutes of Steering Meeting	• "The Planned Project Life" = Revised Project Implementation Period (approved)
3.4	Institutional capacity		2= demonstrated institutional capacity to implement the project, 1= Limited institutional capacity, 0= No capacity to handle the project	2	2	Documents Implementation Framework Project M&E Framework Quarterly Monitoring Reports Minutes of Steering Meetings, if any	

3.5	Compliance with social and environmental safeguards		1= No known hiccups as a result of social and environmental concerns, 0= project delayed or stopped as a result of social and environmental concerns raised by stakeholders or interest groups	1	1		<b>Documents</b> Quarterly Monitoring Reports Minutes of site meetings Minutes of Steering Meetings
-----	---	--	--	---	---	--	--

7	<b>TOTAL MAXIMUM SCORE</b>		Scoring Max	Total Score	Minimum score		
	<b>Minimum Acceptable Score is 6</b>		15	<b>13</b>			

**5 Other considerations**

5.1	Appraisal Criterion	Notes for Criterion	Score	Remarks	Reference points in the template and other reference documents	Further Explanation
5.2	Readiness of the project	-Whether preparatory works have been concluded - Whether we have contractual obligations			<b>Template</b> A. General Information 2. Readiness of Project	• <i>This is already included in the New Project Proposal Template.</i> • <i>We should ask line ministries to update this column in the on-going project proposals.</i>
5.2	Contractual obligations and arrears	- Whether there are arrears?				
5.3	Sustainability measure put into place?	-Do you consider the sustainability of project results an issue? Explain.			<b>Documents</b> Quarterly Monitoring Reports Minutes of Steering Meetings	
5.4	Implementation Bottlenecks	- List factors negatively affecting the performance of project and their gravity			<b>Template</b> C. Monitoring and Evaluation 3. Factors and issues (Annual	
5.5	Absorption/utilization of previous FY's budget provision (b) <Budget utilisation> <i>Funding (Disbursement) /Approved Budget</i>	2= funded 75% of approved budget or over, 1=funded between 35% and 74% of approved budget, 0= funded 34% or less of approved budget			<b>Template</b> E. Activity/Item Costing matrix <b>Documents</b> Quarterly Progress Report Minutes of Quarterly Meeting with Budget Division	There are (i) treasury factor and (ii) MDA factor (for not complying monthly cash reporting requirements) for non-funding

Recommendations		Remarks
6	<Enter: Recommendation (continue, pend, terminate, ending) and contributing factors for that decision>	